IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT RECEIVED	~ ~
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA	55

Brian Keith Nesbitt,) C/A NO 140 HAL 3590 FMG 08
Plaintiff,)
v.	ORDER
Cap. Jenet Glen; James Parris; Gary Lane; Tim Riley; Karen McMorris,) 1))
Defendants.)))

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On September 5, 2014, the court issued an order allowing Plaintiff an opportunity to submit the documents necessary to bring the case into proper form for evaluation and possible service of process. ECF No. 5. The order warned Plaintiff that failure to provide the necessary information within a specific time period would subject the case to dismissal. Plaintiff did not respond to the order and the time for response has lapsed. As Plaintiff has failed to prosecute this case and has failed to comply with an order of this court, the case is **dismissed** *without prejudice* pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *See Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 19, 2014 Charleston, South Carolina

Richard M. Gergel

United States District Judge

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this order within the time period set forth under Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.